

City of Brisbane City Clerk's Office

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word co	ount limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words						
Ballot M	Measurefor thefor the	42 to be held on					
₽ Ret	outtal to Argument in Favor of Measure	Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure					
OLIVORAL PROPERTY.		nformation Pamphlet for the Primary Argument					
If the r	rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(hlet for the primary argument, check the following b	s) as those already selected for the Voter Information ox and skip the back side of this form.					
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument						
	Contact Person's Printed Name:						
	Phone:	Email:					
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument							
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).							
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as a	Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)					
	Contact Person's Printed Name:						
	MARK W.A. HINKLE						
	Phone	Email					

Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

ed in the Sample

50 Park Place, Brisbane, CA 94005 **P**415.508.2113 **email** cityclerk@brisbaneca.org **web** www.brisbaneca.org



Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize	
individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary	
of/against (circle one) Measure for the Election to be held	on <u>11-6-22</u> :
	(date of election)
NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):	
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: HONOR "MIMI" Robson	
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	
(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal A Form)	Argument Submission
NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR	S):
MARK W.A. HINKLE	8/29/22
Printed Name and Signature of Author	Date
Printed Name and Signature of Author	Date

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1. Name:	Title: PRESIDENT- SILILON VALLEY			
MARK W.A. HINKLE	Taxpayes Association			
Phone:				
	/			
Ad	0/507			
M	ORGAN HILL, LA 95037			
Signature:	Date: 8 - 2 9 - 22			
	Title:			
2. Name:	a tue.			
Phone:	Email:			
Frione.	Linds			
Address:				
	`			
Signature:	Date:			
3. Name:	Title:			
· .				
Phone:	Email:			
Address:				
	15			
Signature:	Date:			
	Tialo			
4. Name:	Title:			
Phone:	Email:			
Phone.	Liliali.			
Address:				
Figures.				
Signature:	Date:			
5. Name:	Title:			
5.				
Phone:	Email:			
Address:				
Signature:	Date:			

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly

HIIO	eading. Frint information clearly.			
1.	Name:	Title:		
Phone:		Email:		
Addı	ress:			
	ature:	Date:		
2.	Name:	Title:		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
Add	ress:			
Sign	ature:	Date:		
3.	Name: Honor M Robson	Title: Chair, Libertarian Party of California		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
	Sacramento, CA 95814			
		Date: August 22, 2022		
4.		Title:		
Pho	ne:	Email:		
Add	ress:			
Sign	ature:	Date:		
5.	Name:	Title:		
Phone:		Email:		
Add	ress:			
Sign	ature:	Date:		

Rebuttal Argument Against City of Brisbane Sales Tax Increase: Measure U

*** ARGUMENT TEXT STARTS HERE ***

As of August 2022, the government caused inflation was more than 8%.

Most things you purchase will cost more and the sales tax you pay for those higher prices, will also generate more sales tax revenue for state and local governments.

Brisbane automatically gets more tax revenue during inflationary times!!!

Brisbane City Council claims it is needed for "pothole/street repair," "neighborhood patrols," and "fire prevention." If those services are a priority, why aren't they in the current budget?

Budgets illustrate an organization's priorities. When officials resort to funding services via voter-approved tax increases, they indirectly admit that the proposed projects are LESS IMPORTANT than the projects identified in the current budget.

Do you think roads, criminal investigations, and fire prevention are core services deserving meticulous inclusion in the budget?

Do you resent when potholes and crime are treated as afterthoughts, and voters as ATMs?

If so, please Vote NO. Tell Brisbane City Council to secure core services in the budget!

Supporting this tax would drive more shoppers to Internet merchants and businesses in neighboring cities with lower rates, harming our local economy. Sales taxes are regressive, hitting those on fixed incomes the hardest — the poor, retired elderly, disabled.

Brisbane has a spending problem — not a revenue problem. Taking more of our money will not fix that problem.

We taxpayers cannot live beyond our means. Tell Brisbane City Council they can't either, they must be responsible, get serious about priorities, and straighten out their budget:

Vote NO on Measure U.

Please visit: www.SVTaxpayers.org.

***	ARGUN	MENT	TEXT	ENDS	HERE	***
-----	-------	-------------	------	-------------	------	-----