

CITY of BRISBANE

Complete Streets Safety Committee Meeting Minutes

Wednesday, October 5, 2022, at 6:30 P.M. Virtual Meeting

CALL TO ORDER

Chairperson Tainter called the meeting to order at 6:40 p.m.

ROLL CALL

Members present: Cabrera, Strecker, Tainter; Dettmer and Wodziak arrived at around 6:50 Staff: Kinser (Deputy Director of Public Works), Santoyo (Assistant Engineer)

ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Agenda adopted

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

None

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

A. August 3rd, 2022 meeting minutes approved

OLD BUSINESS

B. Investigation of Residential Parking Permit Program for Central Brisbane

Tainter begins with a brief recap of last meeting's discussion. The committee agreed that there should be only one parking zone encompassing Central Brisbane. Tainter suggests the committee discusses how many parking permits should be distributed to each dwelling unit.

Strecker begins the discussion by stating that the total number of permits issued should not be limited. The reason being that one main issue is non-residents parking their cars in Brisbane. Strecker states that if residency in Brisbane can be proven, then that citizen should be able to acquire as many permits as needed. Strecker acknowledges that street capacity would be affected, but that this action would still be an improvement. Cabrera share's his concerns and reiterates knowing the street capacity. Tainter asks the two about their personal parking experiences.

Kinser suggests the feedback received from when Brisbane tabled at the Day in the Park be shared. As Dettmer and Wodziak arrive, Tainter brings them up to speed. Dettmer thinks that there should be a fixed number of permits issued, starting with a number like 2 per household as a place holder, and if adjustments are needed in the future, then that can be done as well. Wodziak agrees that 2 is a good starting point. Dettmer mentions that accessory dwelling units (ADU's) should also be eligible for parking permits, but perhaps in this situation only one would be issued per ADU.

Kinser fills in Strecker on the unique issue Brisbane has with residents owning many cars to provide background to the discussion. Dettmer makes the point that it may be more productive to receive feedback on 2 permits per household versus trying to figure out the correct number.

Tainter summarizes to conversation so far. It seems that the committee is leaning towards a fixed number of permits, 2 to start, with potential amendments per feedback received. Dettmer mentions her personal experience where there is an apartment complex with multiple drivers. Wodziak states whether determining the number of permits issued can be tied into car registration at an address. Tainter worries about someone having an extraordinary number of cars. Wodziak suggests maybe this person would have additional costs involved. Dettmer asks about private roads, but Kinser answers Brisbane would not have jurisdiction.

Tainter suggests the committee looks at the feedback obtained from tabling at the Day in the Park. Santoyo provides a summary of the feedback received on a google survey. The committee briefly visits a main point of concern received from the feedback: parents walking with children to school to Lipman Middle School.

The discussion returns to the residential parking permit discussion. Tainter offers a different viewpoint. He states that even though there is an issue, people do find parking. So rather than create a drastic change, we would begin with lots of flexibility and offer as many permits as needed and then scale back. Tainter cites the connection to a driver's license, registration, and address as the deterrent for someone buying 10 permits. Dettmer acknowledges that flexibility to begin is a gentler approach. Strecker however, now leans more towards 2 permits per household.

The conversation shifts towards alternative vehicles or motorcycles. Motorcycles aren't a huge concern, and Dettmer suggests offering parking specifically for motorcycles. The committee than discusses solutions to people parking poorly. Tainter interjects and as the committee is getting a bit off topic.

Tainter suggests the committee makes a motion to solidify the consensus of 2 permits per household to begin. The motion passes.

Tainter suggests since the topic is fresh in the committee's minds, that they discuss under what circumstances should more permits be issued. The issue of capacity comes up again, but Dettmer makes the point that whatever the capacity is, we can't change that number. Dettmer also mentions that one possible way to attempt to conserve as much parking space as possible is by placing striping on the road to mark parking spaces. Tainter discusses if a cap should be placed and what that absolute max would be. Wodziak suggests a compounding increase in cost, which the committee likes. The conversation is tabled in the interest of time.

NEW BUSINESS

C. Ratify Letter of Support for the Bike/Ped grant

Staff shares a letter of support written on behalf of the committee for Bike/Ped gran offered by the SMCTA. The proposed project would expand on the Central Brisbane Stairway Additions.

The letter is ratified.

STAFF UPDATES

Kinser follows up with Tainter about the damage to a crossing at Valley Drive. The work has been repaired.

Santoyo brings up the need to select a committee representative for a park that will be built out on Sierra Point. Kinser provides background on the project. Wodziak volunteers to be the committees' representative and will keep the rest of the committee in the loop.

CHAIR AND COMMITTEE MEMBER MATTERS

Dettmer mentions a member of the public brough up a concern regarding dodging the median when taking a left onto Bayshore going north from Valley Drive.

Cabrera brings up PG&E doing utility work on Bayshore by his business. Staff was not aware, but Cabrera mentions it would be great to get notice, as well has traffic signs to provide notices to approaching drivers.

Cabrera also brings up traffic light to be installed at San Bruno onto Bayshore. Kinser states it is not funded. The committee requests that when funds become available, that this be a top priority.

NEXT MEETING DATE

November 2, 2022, 6:30 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Chairperson Tainter adjourned the meeting at 8:07 PM.