From: Earthhelp <earthhelp@earthlink.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2024 3:39 PM
To: Swiecki, John <jswiecki@brisbaneca.org>

Subject: 100 Harold Road

• **PUBLIC HEARING: 100 Harold Road; Tentative Parcel Map 2023-TPM-1;** R-BA Brisbane Acres Residential District; Tentative parcel map to establish a 56,230 square foot, buildable parcel; and finding that this project is categorically exempt from environment review under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15301(e) and 15302; Jerry Tso, applicant and owner.

Dear Planning Commission,

Regarding 100 Harold Road, I am concerned when City staff makes claims that may not be correct.

CEQA categorical exemptions should be used sparingly in lands purported to have rare and endangered species. A federal level of review (EIS) may be required. While this improvement seems benign and within a modest footprint, access on a road known to have landslide potential should be noted when accompanied by a deferred maintenance declaration. Does that indemnify the city? Additionally, making statements that there are no wildfire risks belies the reality homeowners in the Acres and California in general are experiencing with insurance companies bailing.

I think you should double-check the wildfire risk and make certain there are adequate water systems to suppress land and structure fires. Make certain that plantings near the structures do not interfere with native, indigenous plants and animals out there (no invasives.)—I have concerns that there are some precious native areas out there (Islais cherry tree stand and water courses) impacted by the future road necessary to access this property. What protections for the environment are in place?

Concerned about the whole picture, Dana Dillworth